UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,264	08/03/2006	Shigeru Tanaka	Q95523	6461
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 01/04/201 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurren	10/588,264	TANAKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ana L. Woodward	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Oc</u>	otober 2000					
<i>;</i> —	/ _					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	. ,— .					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
<i>,</i>						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/2/09</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/588,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, it is unclear if or how the objectionable term "general" limits the depicted formula. Contrary to applicants' contention, said term has not been deleted from the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese 2001-348556 as per reasons of record.

JP '556 discloses thermosetting resin compositions comprising a polyimide, an epoxy, a hardening accelerator and a curing agent. See for example Inventive examples 23 and 36 wherein compositions comprising a polyimide, an epoxy resin, a tetramine accelerator and a diamine curing agent are illustrated. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, and within the disclosure of the reference, to have used an imidazole in lieu of the exemplified tetramine hardening accelerator with the reasonable expectation of success. In this regard, it is noted that the reference

Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

clearly teaches that imidazole compounds can be used in place of or in addition to the exemplified tetramine hardening accelerator, that is, they are taught as equivalent hardening accelerator materials (per [0049] of computer translation). Given that said reference composition would be chemically similar to applicants' and further is capable of being laminated at relatively low temperatures (inclusive of that presently claimed), it would be reasonably expected that the former would meet the flowability properties governing the latter. Accordingly, absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results, no patentability can be seen in the use of one well known hardening accelerator for another.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed October 2, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is maintained that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, and within the disclosure of the reference, to have used an imidazole in lieu of the tetramine hardening accelerator in the reference examples with the reasonable expectation of success. In this regard, it is noted that the reference clearly teaches that imidazole compounds can be used in place of or in addition to the exemplified tetramine hardening accelerator, that is, they are taught as equivalent hardening accelerator materials. Given that said reference composition would be chemically similar to applicants' and further is capable of being laminated at relatively low temperatures (inclusive of that presently claimed), it would be reasonably expected that the former would meet the flowability properties governing the latter. The fact that applicant has

Art Unit: 1796

recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). There is no evidence of record showing unusual or unexpected results for using the presently claimed imidazole compound, as opposed to the tetramine compound exemplified by the reference.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/588,264 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ana L. Woodward/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796